

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 Jeffrey L. Dannemiller,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 City of Salinas, et al.,  
15 Defendants.

No. C 06-02642 JW  
**SCHEDULING ORDER**

Upon filing, this case was scheduled for a case management conference on October 2, 2006. Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules of this Court, the parties conferred and duly submitted a Joint Case Management Statement and Proposed Order. Based on their joint submission, it appears that a schedule for the case can be set without the necessity of an appearance at this time. Accordingly, **the case management conference is cancelled** and the parties are ordered to comply with the following schedule:

## CASE SCHEDULE

24	Preliminary Pretrial Conference and Trial Setting	December 10, 2007
25	Conference at 11 a.m. (¶ 12)	
26	Preliminary Pretrial Conference Statements	November 30, 2007
27	<i>Due 10 days before conference (¶ 11)</i>	
28	Last Date for Hearing Dispositive Motions (¶ 10)	October 29, 2007
	<i>(42 days before Preliminary Pretrial Conference)</i>	

**United States District Court**  
 For the Northern District of California

1 <b>Close of Discovery (¶ 9)</b>	<b>September 3, 2007</b>
2 <b>Deadline for parties to contact Court's ADR Program to select 3      and schedule ADR procedure (¶ 15) 4      (<i>15 days after the date of this Order</i>)</b>	<b>October 12, 2006</b>

5                None of the dates set in this order may be changed without an order of the court made after a  
 6 motion is duly filed and made pursuant to the local rules of this court.

7                **Standing Order to Lodge Printed Copy of "ECF" Papers**

8                1.        In all cases, including cases covered by the Electronic Case Filing System of  
 9 the Court "ECF," when filing papers in connection with any motion or any pretrial conference, in  
 10 addition to filing the paper electronically, the filing parties shall lodge with the Clerk's Office a  
 11 printed copy of the papers, in an envelop clearly marked "Chamber's Copy – Lodged for the  
 12 Chambers of Judge James Ware." The "Chamber's Copy" envelop must state the case name and case  
 13 number and be delivered on or before the close of the next court day following the day the papers  
 14 are filed electronically. See Standing Order Regarding Case Management in Civil Cases.

15                **Compliance with Discovery Plan and Reference to Magistrate Judge**

16                2.        The Court adopts the Discovery Plan proposed by the parties in their Joint  
 17 Case Management Statement. The parties are ordered to comply with the discovery plan. Any  
 18 disputes with respect to the implementation of the discovery plan and all disclosure or discovery  
 19 disputes are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge. In addition, any disputes pertaining to  
 20 service or joinder of parties or claims are referred to the assigned Magistrate Judge.

21                **Document Management During Pretrial Discovery and Electronic Evidence Presentation**

22                3.        This Court has available a digital and video electronic evidence presentation  
 23 system. Before commencement of pretrial discovery, the parties are ordered to familiarize  
 24 themselves with the system, and to meet and confer about whether the case will involve voluminous  
 25 documentary. If so, as the parties identify documentary material which is likely to be used as trial  
 26 exhibits, the parties are ordered to electronically store these materials in a fashion which will

1 facilitate displaying them electronically during the trial. The parties are reminded that Civil L.R. 30-  
2 (b) requires sequential numbering of exhibits during depositions and that numbering must be  
3 maintained for those exhibits throughout the litigation. Each proposed exhibit shall be pre-marked  
4 for identification. All exhibits shall be marked with numerals. The parties shall meet and confer on  
5 a division which will avoid duplication (e.g., Plaintiff: 1-99,000; Defendant #1: 100,000-299,999;  
6 Defendant #2: 300,000-500,000).

## **Disclosure of Expert Witnesses**

8                  4. Any party wishing to present expert witness testimony with respect to a  
9 claim or a defense shall lodge with the Court and serve on all other parties the name, address,  
10 qualifications, résumé and a written report which complies with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B) by **July 2,**  
11 **2007.** Expert witness disclosure must be made with respect to a person who is either (a) specially  
12 retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony pursuant to Fed.R.Evid. 702 or (b) a  
13 regular employee or agent or treating physician who may be called to provide expert opinion  
14 testimony.

15           5.         The parties are also required to lodge any supplemental reports to which any  
16 expert will testify at trial in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B).

17               6. Any party objecting to the qualifications or proposed testimony of an expert  
18 must file, serve and notice a motion to exclude the expert or any portion of the expert's testimony in  
19 writing in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-2, for hearing no later than **August 27, 2006 at 9:00**  
20 **a.m.** and preferably before or on the same day as the discovery cutoff date at 9:00 a.m.

## **Rebuttal Expert Witnesses**

22           7. If the testimony of the expert is intended solely to contradict or rebut opinion  
23 testimony on the same subject matter identified by another party, the party proffering a rebuttal  
24 expert shall make the disclosures required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B), no later than **July 16, 2007**.

### **Limitation on Testimony by Expert Witnesses**

26           8. Unless the parties enter into a written stipulation otherwise, upon timely  
27 objection, an expert witness shall be precluded from testifying about any actions or opinions not

1 disclosed prior to the expert's deposition. This is to ensure that all factual material upon which  
2 expert opinion may be based and all tests and reports are completed prior to the expert deposition.  
3 Unless application is made prior to the close of expert discovery, each party will be limited to  
4 calling only one expert witness in each discipline involved in the case.

5 **Close of Discovery**

6 9. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 26-2, all discovery, including supplemental  
7 disclosure, depositions of fact witness and expert witnesses, must be completed on or before the  
8 deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above.

9 **Last date for Hearing Dispositive Motions**

10 10. The last day for hearing dispositive motions is set forth in the Case Schedule  
11 above. Any motions must be noticed in accordance with the Civil Local Rules of this Court.

12 **Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order**

13 11. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to confer with one another  
14 and to file and lodge with Chambers on or before the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule above a  
15 Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference Statement and Proposed Order, stating their  
16 readiness for trial, the amount of time which the Court should allocate for trial and the calendar  
17 period for the trial.

18 12. The attorneys who will try the case are ordered to appear on the date set in  
19 the Case Schedule at 11:00 a.m. for a Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference.

20 13. With respect to the time allocation for trial, at the Preliminary Pretrial and  
21 Trial Setting Conference trial counsel will be asked to stipulate to a time allocation to each side for  
22 the trial of the case. Once a stipulated allocation has been entered, the parties must plan their  
23 presentations to conform to the stipulated time allocation.

24 14. With respect to the calendar period for trial, based on the time allotted to the  
25 case, a calendar period for trial will be set. In the event it becomes necessary to delay the start of  
26 trial because of the Court's calendar, the commencement date will trail from day-to day until the  
27 other matter is concluded or further order of the Court.

## **Alternative Dispute Resolution**

2       15. The parties are referred to the Court's ADR Program at (415) 522-2199. No later than  
3 the deadline set forth in the Case Schedule, the parties shall contact the ADR Program or the  
4 designated Magistrate Judge to schedule a conference.

Magistrate Judge Patricia V. Trumbull: Corinne Lew (408) 535-5378

Magistrate Judge Richard Seeborg: Bernadette Kunkel (408) 535-5357

Magistrate Judge Howard R. Lloyd: Patty Cromwell (408) 535-5365

Dated: September 28, 2006

JAMES WARE  
United States District Judge

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:**

2 Dennis Cunningham [denniscunningham@juno.com](mailto:denniscunningham@juno.com)  
3 Susan K. Blitch [sblitch@hurleylaw.com](mailto:sblitch@hurleylaw.com)  
3 Vincent P. Hurley [vhurley@hurleylaw.com](mailto:vhurley@hurleylaw.com)  
4 W. Gordon Kaupp [gordonk@hotmail.com](mailto:gordonk@hotmail.com)

5 **Dated: September 28, 2006**

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

6  
7 By: /s/ JW Chambers  
Elizabeth Garcia  
Courtroom Deputy